

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/785,396	MIEHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GORDON J. STOCK JR	2877	

All Participants:

(1) GORDON J. STOCK JR.

(2) Attorney Mary Olynick.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2008

Status of Application: after nonfinal

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 4:45 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2-4, 6, 11, 20, 24, 44,45

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An amendment to the claims was discussed to overcome any grammatical errors, objections due to lack of antecedent basis, or possible indefiniteness. See attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Gordon J Stock/
Examiner, Art Unit 2877

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)